

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Bondurant-Farrar Community School District, Iowa; Sales Tax

Primary Credit Analyst:

Blake E Yocom, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7056; blake.yocom@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Andrew J Truckenmiller, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7032; andrew.truckenmiller@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

Bondurant-Farrar Community School District, Iowa; Sales Tax

Credit Profile

US\$8.39 mil sch infrastructure sales, svcrs & use tax rev bnds ser 2022 due 07/01/2042

Long Term Rating A+/Stable New

Bondurant-Farrar Comnty Sch Dist sales tax

Long Term Rating A+/Stable Affirmed

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'A+' long-term rating to Bondurant-Farrar Community School District (CSD), Iowa's anticipated \$8.39 million series 2022 school infrastructure sales, services, and use tax revenue refunding bonds. At the same time, we affirmed our 'A+' rating on the district's parity debt. The outlook is stable.

A first-lien pledge of state-collected retail sales and service tax revenue for school infrastructure purposes secures the bonds. We rate the bonds under our "Priority-Lien Tax Revenue Debt" criteria, published Oct. 22, 2018, on RatingsDirect, which factors in the pledged revenue strength and stability and the general credit quality of the district where taxes are distributed and/or collected (the obligor's creditworthiness [OC]).

The district intends to use bond proceeds to finance school infrastructure projects and fund a debt service reserve fund (DSRF) at the standard three-prong test.

Credit overview

We think the likelihood of stable-to-growing per-pupil revenue will allow for consistent year-over-year revenue and at least adequate maximum annual debt service (MADS) coverage due to likely increasing enrollment. The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectation that pledged revenue will provide sufficient coverage and will be less prone to significant fluctuations during normal economic cycles, supported by Iowa's very strong economic base.

Key credit considerations include our opinion of the district's:

- Very strong economic fundamentals, as reflected by a statewide revenue base and distributions to districts based on certified enrollment;
- Low-to-very low revenue volatility because of the funding mechanism, demonstrated by pledged revenue growth;
- Adequate coverage, in our view, with a 1.3x additional bonds test (ABT) and 2x MADS coverage; and
- Close obligor relationship.

Environmental, social, and governance

We have analyzed the district's environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks and have determined all are neutral in our credit analysis.

Stable Outlook

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating with stronger bond provisions and sustained stronger coverage, while all other credit characteristics improve or remain unchanged.

Downside scenario

Although unlikely, we could lower the rating if statewide sales tax collections were to decrease, coupled with enrollment declining to such a degree that pledged revenue also significantly deteriorates, leading to lower-than-expected debt service coverage (DSC). We could also do so if the obligor's general creditworthiness were to weaken by multiple notches.

Credit Opinion

The Iowa Secure an Advanced Vision for Education (SAVE)

SAVE establishes a statewide one-cent sales tax for school infrastructure authorized through Jan. 1, 2051. Beginning with the October 2022 transfer, the Iowa Department of Revenue will transfer the actual amount of tax revenue attributable to each school district remitted in the preceding month on a per-pupil basis. The per-pupil calculation compares a district's actual, in-district certified enrollment with total statewide enrollment. All districts receive the same level of per-pupil revenue, most recently \$1,112 for fiscal year 2021 and a projected \$1,116 for fiscal 2022.

Districts can use their individual share of SAVE revenue for school infrastructure purposes or property tax relief; they cannot use funds for general operations. The district's electorate has adopted a broadly written revenue purpose statement that directs the school board to use sales tax revenue first for sales tax debt service, and then for purposes the Iowa Code permits, including property tax relief. Absent a voter-approved broad revenue purpose statement, state statutes require districts to use SAVE funds for property tax relief first, essentially subordinating revenue bonds.

Economic fundamentals: Very strong

Pledged revenue is derived from a statewide revenue base with distributions to districts based on certified enrollment and thus we use the state as the economic foundation for our analysis. Overall, Iowa's population of nearly 3.2 million in 2020 increased by a slower rate than that of the nation. Additionally, employment growth is slower than the nation. Historically, the state unemployment rate is much lower than the nation. Median household and per capita effective buying incomes (EBIs) are 95% and 92% of the U.S., respectively. Retail sales have increased between 3% and 4% over the past five years. For further economic information on the state, see our latest full analysis on Iowa, published Aug. 14, 2020, on RatingsDirect.

Volatility: Low to very low

We assess revenue volatility to determine the likelihood of revenue availability during different economic cycles. We have two levels of volatility assessments: macro and micro.

Our macro volatility assessment begins with an assessment of the historical volatility of economic activity taxed, including an analysis of societal, demographic, political, and other factors that could greatly affect these activities.

On a micro-volatility level, there is no external influence that we think weakens the macro assessment of low volatility. Under the funding formula, even districts with decreasing enrollment, if not significant, have experienced flat-to-growing annual year-over-year revenue; therefore, these districts report stable DSC.

We have analyzed revenue distribution to schools from 2008 to 2021, the start of the SAVE program; we note less revenue volatility compared with national trends due to the formula used for distributing revenue to districts, reflecting the percentage of each district's actual enrollment compared with total state enrollment. Statewide disbursements to schools have generally increased since SAVE's inception in 2008, apart from a 1.0% decrease in fiscal 2009 and a 1.2% decrease in fiscal 2021.

Fiscal 2021 actual statewide disbursements were \$544.8 million, or \$1,112 per pupil. They rose by a net \$100 million, or 25%, during the previous 10 years compared with statewide enrollment, which has been relatively flat and increased by only a net 16,600, or 3.5%, in the same period. Therefore, revenue from Iowa's distribution formula has seen lower volatility than the nationwide average.

Coverage and liquidity: Adequate

The requirement that SAVE revenue cannot be used to support general operations typically results in weak-to-adequate DSC. Districts tend to structure bonds with weak ABTs, typically in the range of 1.2x-1.3x, providing greater ability to issue bonds and fund capital projects.

The ABT is an adequate 1.3x. Most districts eventually issue additional debt to the ABT's fullest extent, and we factor this into our analysis and our expectation of future DSC. The series 2022 bonds are supported by a DSRF, providing additionally liquidity.

Post issuance, the district will have \$13.5 million in sales tax revenue bonds outstanding. Using pledged revenue of approximately \$2.5 million in fiscal 2021, historical coverage was approximately 1.9x. Using projected per-pupil revenue of \$1,116 for fiscal 2022 (and stable enrollment of 2,424), or approximately \$2.7 million, and MADS of \$1.35 million in 2024, MADS coverage is approximately 2x. Coverage increases to a much stronger over 4x beginning in 2030.

During the past 10 years, enrollment increased by 957, or over 65%. For the October 2021 count, used for fiscal 2023 revenue collections, certified enrollment was 2,424. It is projected to increase between 75 and 100 annually over the next five years.

We applied two stress tests to assess DSC under scenarios of enrollment and sales tax revenue declines. Using the statewide per-pupil revenue estimate of \$1,116 for fiscal 2022, we determined that the district would still have 1.0x MADS coverage with 1,208 students, or a loss of 1,216 (a 50% decline). In addition, if enrollment remains constant at

2,424, we calculate per-pupil revenues could decline to \$556, and the district would still have 1.0x MADS coverage.

In our view, revenue distribution based on enrollment, in most cases, lends stability to DSC and liquidity. Districts can sustain a modest degree of enrollment decreases and still generate revenue growth because of historically increasing per-pupil revenue. We expect DSC will likely remain stable but adequate. The district has no additional debt plans.

Obligor linkage: Close

Under our criteria, the priority-lien rating links with the obligor's general creditworthiness because we view overall creditworthiness as a key determinant of an obligor's ability to pay all obligations. In our opinion, rated debt bond provisions are less restrictive with respect to revenue collection and distribution. The district directly receives SAVE revenue from the Iowa Department of Revenue, and it is responsible for paying debt service. In our opinion, pledged revenue flow is not substantially removed from the district's direct control.

Bondurant-Farrar CSD serves a population of 9,898 in central Iowa and is part of the Des Moines metropolitan area. MHHEBI is very strong at 126% of the national average. Actual value totaled nearly \$1.3 billion, or \$128,875 per capita, which we consider extremely strong. The district reported a \$1.9 million surplus in fiscal 2021, improving the available fund balance to nearly \$6 million, or 24% of expenditures, which we consider very strong. Projections for fiscal 2022 show at least balanced operations and the district is likely to report another surplus. We view management as good under our financial management assessment. The overall debt profile is moderately high-to-high given capital needs of a growing district.

For more information on the district's general creditworthiness, see "Bondurant-Farrar Community School District, Iowa; General Obligation," published June 15, 2021 on RatingsDirect.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.