

## CREDIT OPINION

20 February 2020

 Rate this Research

### Contacts

Heather Correia +1.214.979.6868  
Analyst  
heather.correia@moodys.com

Roger S Brown +1.214.979.6840  
VP-Senior Analyst/Manager  
roger.brown@moodys.com

Alexandra S. Parker +1.212.553.4889  
MD-Public Finance  
alexandra.parker@moodys.com

### CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653

Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077

Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

# Carlsbad Municipal S.D., NM (Eddy County)

## Update to credit analysis

### Summary

The credit profile of [Carlsbad Municipal School District \(MSD\), NM](#) benefits from a large tax base, although it is significantly concentrated in oil and gas, and a low debt burden and average resident income indices. The credit profile is constrained by a limited financial position, a result of management's decision to utilize reserves, and an elevated pension burden based on the district's proportionate share of ERB's unfunded liability.

### Credit strengths

- » Large tax base that has expanded rapidly due to oil and gas production in the Permian Basin
- » Low direct debt burden with rapid principal payout

### Credit challenges

- » Tax base and taxpayer concentration in the energy sector
- » Limited general fund reserves relative to Aa-peers
- » Elevated pension and fixed cost burdens

### Rating outlook

Moody's generally does not assign outlooks to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

### Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Significant improvement to reserves
- » Diversification of the tax base and economy
- » Material reduction to the pension and fixed cost burdens

### Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Additional declines in reserves
- » Material and sustained tax base contractions
- » Increases to the pension and fixed cost burdens that are not in line with peers

## Key indicators

Exhibit 1

Carlsbad MSD, NM	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Economy/Tax Base</b>					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$7,737,646	\$8,254,446	\$6,983,974	\$6,400,238	\$8,077,482
Population	35,031	35,411	35,643	35,715	35,715
Full Value Per Capita	\$220,880	\$233,104	\$195,942	\$179,203	\$226,165
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	98.2%	100.8%	95.9%	95.9%	95.9%
<b>Finances</b>					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$63,224	\$68,377	\$68,275	\$74,269	\$83,509
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$23,651	\$28,819	\$27,266	\$30,235	\$33,157
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$22,476	\$28,354	\$26,067	\$30,942	\$34,924
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	37.4%	42.1%	39.9%	40.7%	39.7%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	35.5%	41.5%	38.2%	41.7%	41.8%
<b>Debt/Pensions</b>					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$39,855	\$64,055	\$57,295	\$51,070	\$44,280
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$163,982	\$183,089	\$208,452	\$238,330	\$259,485
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.6x	0.9x	0.8x	0.7x	0.5x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	2.1%	2.2%	3.0%	3.7%	3.2%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	2.6x	2.7x	3.1x	3.2x	3.1x

Source: District's audits; Moody's; US Census (MFI)

## Profile

The district is located in southeastern New Mexico, encompassing 2,497 square miles, and serving approximately 8,700 students.

## Detailed credit considerations

### Economy and tax base: large, concentrated tax base in southeastern New Mexico

Over the immediate term, the tax base will remain sizeable given ongoing oil and gas production. Located in southeastern New Mexico, the district serves the city of Carlsbad and surrounding area. Over the past several years, oil and gas production has ramped up in the Permian Basin, translating to significant tax base growth. Since fiscal 2015, assessed values (AV) have more than doubled to fiscal 2020's \$4.2 billion, derived from a full value of \$12.6 billion. Mineral values account for 64% of the base. The district's AV is volatile, being tied to the energy market, which has resulted in periods of material tax base expansions and contractions. Top ten taxpayers represent 20% of fiscal 2020 FV, with seven being oil and gas companies.

Outside of oil and gas, the base is somewhat anchored by the Department of Energy's (DoE) Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), which employs close to 1,000 employees, and two potash mines, which also employ 1,000 employees (in total). Officials report that Holtech, another DoE-funded nuclear waste storage facility similar to WIPP, is coming online in three to four years, which will bring several hundred jobs to the county. Management reports that residential development is strong, with the county issuing close to a 1,000 building permits in the past year.

Despite concentration in oil and gas, enrollment has remained fairly stable since fiscal 2011, fluctuating between 6,600 and 7,000 students although fiscal 2020 enrollment is 7,174. There are two charters within the district's boundaries, both district-authorized, which educate around 1,500 students. Pecos Connections Academy (a virtual academy) accounts for the bulk at 1,300; the district reports that only 78 students are from Carlsbad, the remainder from elsewhere in the state.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

### Financial operations and reserves: general fund reserves have narrowed

The district has reduced general fund balance to 8.7% of operating expenditures as of fiscal 2019, narrow relative to Aa-peers, compared to a healthier 19.9% of revenues as of fiscal 2015. Management purposely drew on reserves for instructional expenses rather than potentially forego future funds, possible state sweeps (as experienced in fiscal 2017). Management expects to maintain reserves at current levels through expenditure management.

After three consecutive deficits, the district's general fund balance declined to \$4.2 million, or 7.5% revenues during fiscal 2018 from a high of \$11.9 million, or 21.6% of revenues, during fiscal 2015. Positively, fiscal 2019 saw a surplus of \$1 million, increasing general fund balance to \$5.1 million, or 8.7% of revenues. The district saw an additional \$3.2 million in state aid revenues, driven by enrollment gains and an increase in unit values. Operating fund balance, including the general and debt service funds, was \$35.2 million, or 42.1% of operating revenues. Annual debt service is due in August, thus, debt service fund balance is at its peak at fiscal year-end (June 30).

The fiscal 2020 budget projected a surplus, with general fund revenues of \$32.2 million against expenditures of \$26.4 million. Based on performance through January 2020, officials report that they have \$8 million in cash balance. By year-end, officials expect to report at least \$5 million in fund balance on a modified accrual basis.

### LIQUIDITY

Cash and fund balance are in line. At fiscal 2019 year-end, general fund cash was \$5 million, or 8.5% of revenues. Operating fund cash was \$33.2 million, or 39.7% of operating revenues.

### Debt and pensions: low direct debt burden, but elevated pension burden

Despite plans to borrow in the near-term, the district's debt burden will remain low and manageable given rapid principal amortization. At 0.5% of fiscal 2020 full value, the district's debt burden is below state and national medians. Principal payout is rapid, with 100% retired in ten years. The district plans to exhaust remaining voter authorization (\$50 million) in the next few years, borrowing \$30 million in 2021 and \$20 million in 2023. Debt is structured so as to allow the layering in of additional bonds without adjustment to tax rates.

### DEBT STRUCTURE

Inclusive of the current issuance, the district has \$59.6 million in fixed-rated obligations. All debt matures in 2034.

### DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The district is not party to any derivative agreements.

### PENSIONS AND OPEB

The district has a high employee pension burden, based on unfunded liabilities for its share of the Educational Employees Retirement System (EERS), a cost sharing plan administered by the state and managed by the Educational Retirement Board (ERB). Carlsbad's annual contributions into the plan have been at the statutorily required amount, which is well below the actuarially required amount, a situation which has driven the large unfunded liability. Moody's fiscal 2019 adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the district, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$259.7 million, or an elevated 3.11x operating revenues.

In addition to a high ANPL (relative to revenues), the district's tread water gap has widened over the last several years. The "tread water" indicator measures the annual contributions required to prevent the reported net pension liability from increasing. That is, it is the amount that the district would have to pay on an annual basis to ensure the unfunded liability does not increase. In fiscal 2019, pension contributions of \$5.9 million were below the tread water indicator of \$9.2 million, a credit negative.

Moody's calculated unfunded OPEB liability was \$42.2 million in fiscal 2019, or an above average 51% of operating revenues. Fixed costs, including pension and OPEB contributions and debt service are an above average 32% of operating revenue. Inclusive of tread water, they jump to 36%.

### Management and governance: institutional framework score of Baa

The district's policy making and supervisory functions are the responsibility of and are vested in a five-member Board of Education. The Board delegates administrative responsibilities to the Superintendent of Schools. The district has an informal fund balance policy to maintain at least 8% of operating expenditures in reserve, above the state's recommended 3% to 5%.

Of note, the district's fiscal 2018 audit was delayed, and was published 18 months after fiscal year-end. Under the previous financial director (who resigned in March 2019), the district was challenged to produce timely and accurate cash balance reports, which, in turn, impacted the auditors' ability to audit the financial statements. The district now has a new superintendent and financial director, and the fiscal 2019 audit was published around six months after fiscal year-end.

New Mexico School Districts have an Institutional Framework score of Baa, which is low. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. The sector's major revenue source, state aid or SEG, is subject to a cap, which cannot be overridden (in that, the State determines annual appropriations based primarily on student enrollment). Reliance on state funding limits revenue-raising ability; school districts do not collect property taxes for operation. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be moderate, or between 5-10% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. However, New Mexico School Districts enter into annual teaching contracts, which can limit the ability to cut expenditures over the near-term. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be moderate, between 5-10% annually.

## Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 2

### Carlsbad MSD, NM

Rating Factors	Measure	Score
<b>Economy/Tax Base (30%)</b> <sup>[1]</sup>		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$12,562,490	Aaa
Full Value Per Capita	\$351,743	Aaa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	95.9%	Aa
<b>Notching Factors:</b> <sup>[2]</sup>		
Economic Concentration		Down
<b>Finances (30%)</b>		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	39.7%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	16.8%	Aa
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	41.8%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	19.8%	Aa
<b>Management (20%)</b>		
Institutional Framework	Baa	Baa
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures (x)	1.0x	Aa
<b>Debt and Pensions (20%)</b>		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.5%	Aaa
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.7x	A
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	2.1%	Aa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	3.1x	A
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	Aa3
	Assigned Rating	Aa3

(1) Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

(2) Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology.

(3) Standardized adjustments are outlined in the GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs publication.

Source: Moody's; US Census

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

## CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454