

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Bayless Consolidated School District, Missouri; School State Program

Primary Credit Analyst:

Augustin Kazakevicius, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4782; augustin.kazakevicius@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Katelyn A Kerley, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4683; katelyn.Kerley@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Summary:

Bayless Consolidated School District, Missouri; **School State Program**

Credit Profile US\$3.79 mil GO rfdg bnds ser 2020 due 03/01/2032					
Underlying Rating for Credit Program	AA-/Stable	New			
Bayless Cons Sch Dist SCHSTPR					
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	Affirmed			
Underlying Rating for Credit Program	AA-/Stable	Affirmed			

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA-' underlying rating and its 'AA+' long-term program rating to Bayless Consolidated School District of St. Louis County, Mo.'s series 2020 general obligation (GO) refunding bonds. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA-' underlying rating and our 'AA+' program rating on the district's outstanding bonds. The outlook is stable.

Proceeds from the 2020 bonds will be used to refund existing GO bonds. The bonds are secured by unlimited ad valorem property taxes.

The 'AA+' long-term rating reflects our assessment of the district's eligibility for and participation in the Missouri Direct Deposit program. The state credit enhancement rating is one notch below the Missouri GO rating, reflecting:

- · Our view of state aid appropriation risk, partly mitigated by strong state support for the program and consistent, well-established state aid funding;
- · Our expectation that maximum annual debt service coverage on all parity debt by state aid payments, currently at 7.4x, will remain strong; and
- Our view that the flow of state aid distributions and debt service payment dates result in a strong timing and administrative risk assessment.

Credit overview

With support from strong assessed value indicators, the district displays a robust financial profile with positive performance results and strong reserve levels.

Credit factors include:

- A strong and growing assessed valuation, based on improvements in residential property values;
- · Surplus operating results with strong fund balance levels, offering the district additional flexibility; and
- A low debt burden with slightly slower-than-average amortization and low carrying charges.

Outlook

The stable outlook on the state credit enhancement rating reflects our view of the state's creditworthiness and anticipation that its support for the program will remain strong. We expect that coverage will remain strong over the two-year outlook horizon given the program's structural features, recent state aid, and enrollment. Upward rating potential is limited because of the state rating and appropriation risks. Should we lower the state rating, or should state support or debt service coverage decline, we could consider a lower rating.

Downside scenario

If the local economy were to deteriorate or if reserve levels were to dip to levels commensurate with those of lower-rated peers, we could lower the underlying rating.

Upside scenario

If local economic indicators were to continue growing, along with the district adopting more formalized policies and procedures, we could raise the rating.

Credit Opinion

Growing local economy, resulting in increased residential valuations

The district's assessed valuation has seen significant growth in the past three years, due to a strengthening of residential property valuations. The district is currently experiencing new residential developments in the area as well. Approximately 85% of the district's tax base is residential.

Management has observed moderate population growth, with younger families moving to the district, which translates into enrollment growth trends.

Consecutive surplus operating results, supporting strong fund balances

The district has a strong record of posting surplus results in the combined general and special revenue funds. Management attributes this in part to a conservative budgeting approach.

In fiscal 2019, the district posted an operating result of an approximately \$89,000 surplus, which was in part due to better-than-budgeted revenue, as well as some account recoding.

For fiscal 2020, the district is currently projecting a minor deficit that would reduce fund balances to approximately 25% of operating expenditures, which we view as strong. The district does not foresee any major budgetary pressures, while still monitoring economic indicators closely.

Funding for Missouri school districts is mostly a mix of local property taxes and state aid. Basic state aid funding is set by average daily attendance, and is then reduced by a local effort in the form of a lookback tax levy. The district can increase the annual tax levy by the lesser of inflation or 5% (not accounting for new construction, which is separately fully realized in the levy), as long as the resulting tax rate remains below the maximum voter-approved amount.

Low debt burden and manageable pension obligations

With low overall net debt per capita and a low carrying charge, the district's debt profile constitutes a favorable credit factor. The amortization is slightly slower than average. Management has indicated that it does not expect to issue any additional debt in the next two years.

Pensions and other postemployment benefits (OPEBs):

- · We do not view pension and OPEB liabilities as an immediate source of credit pressure for the district, given our opinion of the strong plan funding status and modest escalating cost trajectory risk.
- The implicit subsidy created by the district's OPEB offerings will likely result in increasing costs given claims volatility and medical cost and demographic trends, as well as the district's funding of the benefits on a pay-as-you-go basis.
- Offsetting this risk somewhat is the minimal pressure expected from the district's pension liabilities, given the strong plan funding status and modest escalating cost trajectory risk.

The district participated in the following plans as of June 30, 2019:

- Missouri Public School Retirement System (PSRS): 84.6% funded, with the district's proportion of net pension liability measured at approximately \$13.5 million.
- Public Education Employee Retirement System (PEERS): 86.4% funded, with the district's proportion of net pension liability measured at approximately \$890,000.

The district additionally allows retirees to participate in the district's health and dental plans at their own cost. This creates an implicit subsidy for the district, as premiums are based on a blended rate for both active and retired employees.

The district's required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 7.4% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2019. The district's actual 2018 required contributions for both PSRS and PEERS exceeded static funding, making some progress on reducing liabilities, but fell short of our assessment of minimum funding progress. Contribution rates, set annually by plan trustees, are based on recommendations by plan actuaries. Total contributions cannot increase by more than 1% for PSRS and 0.5% for PEERS, leading to contributions below actuarially determined contributions in some years, but 2018 contributions exceeded actuarially determined contributions for both plans. In general, we expect progress toward full funding to be slower given the amortization basis of level percent over a closed period of 30 years for both PSRS and PEERS. Furthermore, we believe the discount rate of 7.5% used for both plans could lead to contribution volatility.

Standard management practices, with policies in some but not all areas

Management looks at historical data and uses outside sources when preparing budgeting assumptions and provides the board with a budget-to-actual report monthly. The district reports investments to the board annually. The district lacks a debt management policy, as well as long-term financial and capital plans. The district also maintains an informal reserve target of 25%-30% reserves, with which it is currently in compliance.

	souriFinancial And Operating Statistics						
	Characterization	Most recent	Historical information				
			2019	2018	2017		
Economic indicators							
Population				12,641	12,750		
Median household EBI % of U.S.	Good			92	93		
Per capita EBI % of U.S.	Adequate			85	88		
MV per capita (\$)	Strong	67,020	56,696	56,574	51,954		
Top 10 taxpayers as % of AV	Very diverse	5.0	5.2	5.3	4.7		
Financial indicators							
Total adjusted available fund balance (\$000)			5,460	5,371	5,325		
Total adjusted available fund balance as % of operating expenditures	Strong		29.7	31.3	33.1		
Governmental funds cash as % of governmental fund expenditures			34.8	39.1	34.1		
General fund operating result as % of general fund operating expenditures			0.48	0.26	1.68		
FMA	Standard						
Enrollment		1,786	1,715	1,723	1,703		
Debt and long-term liabilities							
Overall net debt as % of MV	Low	1.9	1.4	1.8	2.1		
Debt service as % of governmental funds expenditures	Low		4.8	5.7	5.7		
Required pension contribution (\$000)			1,537	1,398	1,330		
OPEB contribution (\$000)							
Required pension plus OPEB contribution as % of governmental fund expenditures			7.4	7.4	6.6		

EBI--Effective buying income. MV--Market value. AV--Assessed value. FMA--Financial Management Assessment. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

Ratings Detail (As Of February 19, 2020)

Bayless Cons Sch Dist GO State Enhancement Prog

AA+/Stable Affirmed Long Term Rating AA-/Stable Affirmed Underlying Rating for Credit Program

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.