

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Clayton School District, Missouri; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Coral Schoonejans, Centennial + 1 (303) 721-4948; coral.schoonejans@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Katelyn A Kerley, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4683; katelyn.Kerley@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Summary:

Clayton School District, Missouri; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$31.21 mil GO rfdg bnnds ser 2019 due 03/01/2029

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable New

Clayton Sch Dist GO

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable Affirmed

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating to Clayton School District, Mo.'s series 2019 general obligation (GO) refunding bonds. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AAA' long-term rating on the district's GO debt outstanding. The outlook is stable.

The bonds are secured by revenue from an unlimited ad valorem tax on all taxable property in the district. Proceeds of the bonds will be used to refund a portion of the district's debt outstanding for interest rate savings.

Credit overview

Clayton School District's participation in the broad and diverse economy of St. Louis--as a major independent city and inland port--provides for a stable economy with a growing revenue base. The tax base has grown by double digits in recent years, exceeding \$1 billion in assessed valuation (AV) with additional residential construction underway. Management has leveraged growth with salary increases for teaching staff and increased capital outlay, and despite recent deficits, projects surpluses for the following two fiscal years alongside property tax growth and a voter-approved levy increase. The debt burden is manageable and, with rapid amortization, will likely remain low-to-moderate over the next several years.

- Stable local economy, further supported by very strong income indicators and low unemployment rates;
- Very strong finances, supported by increasing property valuations, an increase to the tax levy during fiscal 2020, and good financial management policies under our financial management assessment methodology; and
- Low-to-moderate overall net debt with rapid direct debt amortization and no plans to issue additional debt in the medium term.

Outlook

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if reserves were to deteriorate significantly or if economic conditions were to weaken to a point where we would no longer consider the credit profile commensurate with the rating.

Credit Opinion

Expanding economy, led by residential construction and participation in the St. Louis metropolitan area

The district is located in the City of Clayton, the seat of St. Louis County, and includes a portion of the City of Richmond Heights. The district covers an area of approximately 3.3 miles. District residents have access to employment opportunities in the diverse St. Louis MSA economy. Leading district employers include health care consulting firm Centene Corp. (with 2,024 employees), SSM Health Care (2,000), the county government (1,609), and Enterprise Rent-A-Car (1,115).

AV has increased by 28% in fiscal years 2017 to 2020. Management partly attributes the AV increase to new construction and the 2017 and 2019 reassessment years. New commercial and residential development was recently completed, including four residential developments with 650 dwelling units completed in 2018. Officials budget for 3% growth during even fiscal years.

One of the largest taxpayers and employers, Centene, is expanding its campus. Officials expect the first phase of construction to be completed in 2020 and to include a 28-story building and parking garage with an AV of around \$28 million. The second phase is planned for 2022 completion with a Civic Center and residential high rise housing. The entire project, with the exception of the residential high-rise housing, was granted a 40% tax abatement.

The district operates one high school, one middle school, three elementary schools, and one early childhood education center. Student enrollment was 2,652 for the 2018-2019 school year, having increased overall from 2014 to 2018. We expect modest enrollment increases through the 2022 school year based on the construction of multiple residential developments in the district.

Strong financial position despite recent deficits from increased capital and salary costs

The district reports its finances on a modified accrual basis of accounting with a June 30 fiscal year end. Its operating and special revenue fund combined revenue mostly consists of property taxes (95%), followed by state sources (4%). In April 2019, voters approved to increase the operating levy by 56 cents per \$100 of AV and an eight-cent waiver of Proposition C (local) sales tax revenue. The net effect of both measures will provide the district with an additional 64 cents of operating revenue, or approximately \$7.3 million, starting in fiscal 2020.

The financial position at fiscal year-end 2018 was very strong, bolstered by growing revenue sources from property tax revenue, despite a small deficit in fiscal 2018. Officials attribute the recent deficit to inflationary increases in operating expenses, such as salary increases, benefits, and supply costs. For fiscal 2019, officials estimate a larger deficit at around \$8.2 million, or 16% of projected expenditures. The deficit was largely due to increased operating expenditures and capital outlay. A 1.25% increase for certified staff had been implemented. In addition, the operating budget supported maintenance of the facilities and grounds, recommended technology improvements, textbook, musical instrument, and athletic uniform replacement, and curriculum implementation plans. As a result, reserves had fallen below the district's informal target of 18% of operating expenditures.

For fiscal 2020, officials anticipate returning to compliance with their reserve policy as a result of a budgeted \$2.6

million surplus, or 5% of budgeted expenditures. Because AV rose significantly in fiscal 2020, the board of education voted to voluntarily roll back the levy to not collect more revenue than originally requested on the ballot. With the district's deep property tax base and levy increase planned for 2021, we believe that the district's return to surplus operations is likely.

Good FMA, supported by sound policies and practices

We consider the district's management practices good under our financial management assessment methodology, indicating our view that financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

Highlights include management's:

- Budget assumptions that incorporate 10 years of historical data and outside sources,
- Monthly budget monitoring and reporting to the school board,
- Annual preparation of multiyear financial projections,
- Rolling five-year facilities plan that focuses on comprehensive capital needs, and
- Formal investment policy with monthly investment reports to the board.

However, we understand the district lacks a debt management policy and although officials have an informal reserve target of maintaining 18% of operating expenditures in reserves, year-end 2019 estimates show the district is out of compliance with this target. Officials expect to return to compliance with their reserve target by fiscal year-end 2020.

Debt profile is manageable given the sizable tax base and rapid amortization

Overall net debt is moderate on a per capita basis at \$4,789. With 100% of the district's direct debt scheduled to be retired within 10 years, amortization is rapid.

The district has no plans to issue additional debt in the medium term. Furthermore, the district has approximately \$5 million in privately placed capital leases outstanding. However, we view the risks to the district's liquidity as limited, as provisions in the agreement allow for the acceleration of only one year of debt service.

Pension and other postemployment benefit liabilities are adequately funded and sustainable

The district contributes to the Missouri Public School Retirement System (PSRS) and the Missouri Public Education Employees' Retirement System (PEERS), both of which are cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plans. Missouri's PSRS and PEERS plans are 86% and 84% funded, respectively. The district made its required annual contribution to these plans. It also provides OPEB to certain eligible employees on a pay-as-you-go basis. At June 30, 2018, the district had an OPEB unfunded actuarially accrued liability of \$5.4 million. Although fixed pension and OPEB costs represent low budgetary pressure, OPEB costs will likely accelerate as liabilities become realized as a result of the district's pay-as-you-go funding.

Rating above the sovereign

Under our criteria "Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings--Methodology And Assumptions," published Nov. 19, 2013, on RatingsDirect, the district's GO bonds are eligible to be rated above the sovereign because we believe the district can maintain better credit characteristics than the nation in a stress scenario.

Under our criteria, U.S. local governments are considered moderately sensitive to country risk.

Local-government-derived revenue is the primary source of security on the bonds. The institutional framework in the U.S. is predictable with significant state autonomy and flexibility, demonstrated by high debt service coverage and serial bond amortization, as well as independent treasury management. In addition, the federal government has no history of intervening in a school district's revenue generation or treasury operations. For these reasons, we believe the district would maintain the ability to collect property tax revenue and state aid despite a sovereign default. Moreover, what we consider the district's very strong general fund balance as a percentage of expenditures demonstrates its financial flexibility.

Clayton School District, Mo., Financial And Operating Statistics

	Characterization	Most recent	Historical information		
			2018	2017	2016
Economic indicators					
Population			18,744	18,787	18,743
Median household EBI as % of U.S.	Very strong		158	155	148
Per capita EBI as % of U.S.	Very strong		174	167	160
MV per capita (\$)	Extremely strong	299,787	259,695	230,721	232,901
Top 10 taxpayers as % of AV	Diverse	16.2	14.7	17.0	17.0
Financial indicators					
Total adjusted available fund balance (\$000)			15,318	16,745	20,306
Total adjusted available fund balance as % of operating expenditures	Very strong		30.4	34.2	42.9
Governmental funds cash as % of governmental funds expenditures			45.6	40.9	47.0
General fund operating result as % of general fund operating expenditures			(2.99)	(6.13)	(1.97)
FMA	Good				
Enrollment			2,681	2,637	2,590
Debt and long-term liabilities					
Overall net debt as % of MV	Low	1.6	2.3	2.3	2.4
DS as % of governmental funds expenditures	Moderate		13.4	13.3	13.3
Pension ADC (\$000)			4,415	4,327	4,162
OPEB contribution (\$000)				345	277
ADC plus OPEBs as % of governmental funds expenditures		7.4	8.0	7.9	

ADC--Actuarially determined contribution. AV--Assessed value. DS--Debt service. EBI--Effective buying income. MV--Market value. FMA--Financial Management Assessment. OPEB--Other postemployment benefit.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.