

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Johnson County Water District No. 1, Kansas; Water/Sewer

Primary Credit Analyst:

Gregory Dziubinski, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7085; gregory.dziubinski@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Chloe S Weil, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5026; chloe.weil@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Summary:

Johnson County Water District No. 1, Kansas; Water/Sewer

Credit Profile

US\$19.115 mil wtr rev rfdg bnds ser 2019 due 07/01/2030

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable New

Johnson Cnty Wtr Dist #1 wtr

AAA/Stable Long Term Rating Affirmed

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' rating and stable outlook to Johnson County Water District No. 1, Kan.'s series 2019 water revenue refunding bonds and affirmed its 'AAA' rating, with a stable outlook, on the district's existing debt.

The rating reflects our opinion of the combination of extremely strong enterprise- and financial-risk profiles.

The enterprise-risk profile reflects our opinion of the system's:

- Broad and diverse local area economy, with access to the Kansas City metropolitan statistical area (MSA), coupled with the benefits of the economies of scale of larger utilities;
- Economically strong and growing customer base;
- · Water rates we view as very affordable related to median household effective buying income; and
- Strong overall operational management under our Operational Management Assessment (OMA) methodology.

The financial-risk profile reflects our opinion of the system's:

- Extremely strong debt service coverage (DSC),
- · Extremely strong liquidity,
- · Strong financial management practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology, and
- · Debt we view as moderately low.

Officials intend to use series 2019 bond proceeds to refund series 2010 revenue bonds.

A net system-revenue pledge secures the bonds. We view bond provisions, including planned amendments to prior parity bond covenants, as credit neutral. In our view, the district will likely maintain a rate covenant at 1.25x annual debt service. The additional bonds test calls for net revenue to cover maximum annual debt service (MADS) for all debt, including proposed debt, at least 1.25x. The flow of funds is closed, and we recognize management will only use excess revenue to support the utility fund. In addition, bond provisions call for the least of a debt-service-reserve fund to deposit either cash or an approved surety bond equal to 5% of stated parity bond principal, 1.25x average annual

debt service, or MADS.

The system has a predominantly locally derived revenue base. Local service charges, derived through an autonomous rate-setting process, represent nearly all system revenue; coupled with operating expense flexibility, this limits federal revenue exposure.

Enterprise risk

Our assessment of the enterprise-risk profile as extremely strong reflects our view of the district's:

- · Participation in the broad and diverse Kansas City MSA,
- · Steadily growing customer base with strong median household effective buying income, and
- Consistently strong rate-setting practices with a strong operational management framework.

The 272-square-mile district serves more than 147,000 customers in Johnson, Wyandotte, and Miami counties. Most customers live in Johnson County, including Overland Park, Lenexa, and part of Olathe. Johnson County is the state's largest, most-affluent county. In our opinion, it still the state's most economically vibrant county. The county is home to Sprint Communications' world headquarters and consulting-engineering-firm Black & Veatch Corp., as well as corporate headquarters for pharmaceutical, biotechnology, and financial-service companies. Therefore, county unemployment at 3.3% remains below the state's and nation's levels. In our opinion, median household effective buying income is strong at more than 144% of state and national levels. There is no significant customer concentration.

For residential customers, based on the district's actual average billing, the monthly water bill is \$44.97. The 7,500-gallon bill is about 0.7% of median household effective buying income, which we consider low. We also consider the county poverty rate low at about 7%. The district maintains a periodically updated rate study and a water-rate-cost-of-service model. The district can set its own rates.

Based on our OMA, we view the water enterprise as a '1' on a six-point scale, with '1' being the strongest and '6' the weakest. This indicates, in our view, that operational and organizational policies are comprehensive. The strong OMA includes strong asset-management programs for monitoring existing infrastructure and the ability to make rate adjustments, as well as available comprehensive drought-and-disaster-management plans, if needed. The district obtains raw water from the Kansas and Missouri rivers. These sources provide the system with redundant operations and limit the risk of it relying on one water source. Treatment capacity from the district's two plants and storage remain sufficient to serve average and peak-day demand. The district complies with state and federal regulations.

Consistent with the article titled "Methodology: Industry Risk," published Nov. 19, 2013, on RatingsDirect, we consider system industry risk very low, the most favorable assessment possible on a six-point scale, with '1' being the best and '6' the worst.

Financial risk

Our assessment of the financial-risk profile as extremely strong reflects our view of, what we consider, the district's exceptionally strong all-in DSC and liquidity, moderately low debt, and strong financial management framework under our FMA methodology.

In our opinion, DSC has remained strong at more than 2x since fiscal 2016. In audited fiscal 2018, the district reported 2.9x all-in DSC, excluding system-development charges, which we consider extremely strong. The district historically demonstrates extremely strong all-in DSC. The availability of detailed-and-comprehensive sufficiency-and-rate studies has allowed management to meet and exceed DSC expectations.

Liquidity is another identified system strength. Unrestricted cash and investments improved to \$146 million in fiscal 2018 from \$107 million in fiscal 2016. Unrestricted cash and investments at fiscal year-end 2018 were equivalent to 941 days' cash on hand. Related to the district's \$350 million, fiscal years 2019-2025 capital improvement plan (CIP), though it could fluctuate slightly, management deploys cash to fund projects. However, based on management forecasts, we expect unrestricted liquidity will likely remain exceptionally strong.

We currently consider the system's debt-to-capitalization ratio a moderately low 21% with slightly more than \$245 million of debt outstanding after the series 2019 issuance. The district maintains a comprehensive multiyear capital improvement plan. Officials do not currently expect to issue additional debt within the two-year outlook period.

Based on our FMA, we view the district as a '1' on a six-point scale, with '1' being the strongest and '6' the weakest. A strong FMA indicates financial practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable.

The district maintains a five-year rolling annual CIP and personnel budget, and it analyzes any deviations from projections. We also consider management's adherence to a comprehensive CIP; generally accepted-accounting-principles audits; and detailed, codified investment policy credit positives. Furthermore, the district board's financial policies help support financial integrity, including:

- Maintaining 2x DSC;
- Establishing adequate reserves, including an \$11 million rate-stabilization fund;
- · Internal annual rate studies; and
- · Formal cost-of-service study by outside consultants every five years.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' opinion of the district's conservative fiscal policies, regular rate adjustments, and long-term planning that have resulted in a stable, exceptionally strong financial profile. In our view, access to, and participation in, a strong and stable economy further supports the outlook.

Downside scenario

Due to economic and financial strengths, a lower rating, in our view, is not likely. However, we could lower the rating if the service-area economy or finances were to experience significant, prolonged deterioration, pressuring the enterprise- or financial-risk profiles.

Ratings Detail (As Of October 25, 2019)

Johnson Cnty Wtr Dist #1 wtr

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of October 25, 2019) (cont.)

Johnson Cnty Wtr Dist #1 wtr

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable Affirmed

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.