

CREDIT OPINION

12 September 2019



Contacts

Heather Correia +1.214.979.6868

Analyst

heather.correia@moodys.com

William Oh +1.415.274.1739 VP-Senior Analyst

william.oh@moodys.com

Alexandra S. Parker +1.212.553.4889

MD-Public Finance

alexandra.parker@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
Japan 81-3-5408-4100
EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Clovis Municipal S.D. 1 (Curry County), NM

Update to credit analysis

Summary

Clovis Municipal School District (MSD; Aa3), NM's credit profile is stable overall. The district's financial position remains healthy, especially relative to state peers. The tax base is moderately-sized, and is anchored by Cannon Air Force Base, which employs around 10,000. The direct debt burden is manageable, and principal amortization is rapid. We note the credit profile is challenged by an elevated pension burden, and annual contributions remain unfavorably below tread water.

Credit strengths

- » Stable tax base anchored by Cannon Air Force Base (AFB)
- » Manageable direct debt burden

Credit challenges

» Elevated pension burden and weak annual pension contributions

Rating outlook

Moody's generally does not assign outlooks to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Increased reserve position
- » Significant tax base growth and diversification
- » Material reductions to the pension and fixed cost burdens

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Deterioration of reserves
- » Sustained tax base contractions
- » Continued growth in the unfunded pension liability

Key indicators

Exhibit 1

Clovis MSD, NM	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$2,109,391	\$2,162,595	\$2,283,528	\$2,378,920	\$2,423,787
Population	46,853	47,233	47,290	46,919	46,919
Full Value Per Capita	\$45,021	\$45,786	\$48,288	\$50,703	\$51,659
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	79.4%	75.3%	71.8%	68.9%	68.9%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$62,418	\$63,853	\$65,648	\$63,554	\$65,737
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$8,173	\$12,712	\$14,421	\$9,634	\$14,538
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$12,734	\$13,724	\$14,417	\$13,076	\$13,938
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	13.1%	19.9%	22.0%	15.2%	22.1%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	20.4%	21.5%	22.0%	20.6%	21.2%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$39,470	\$41,385	\$38,795	\$41,170	\$43,400
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$206,577	\$200,529	\$206,749	\$230,798	\$252,076
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.9%	1.9%	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.6x	0.6x	0.6x	0.6x	0.7x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	9.8%	9.3%	9.1%	9.7%	10.4%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	3.3x	3.1x	3.1x	3.6x	3.8x

Source: District's audits; Moody's; US Census (MFI)

Profile

The district is located in Clovis, 100 miles northwest of Lubbock, 106 miles southwest of Amarillo, and 220 miles east of Albuquerque, and serves approximately 8,000 students.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: moderately sized base anchored by Cannon AFB

The district's tax base will likely continue expanding over the mid-term driven by positive reappraisals of existing properties. The district serves Clovis (Aa3), and the city serves as the county seat. The local economy benefits from the presence of Cannon Air Force Base (AFB), which employs around 9,800 military and civilian personnel. The district's assessed value (AV) has grown steadily since 2004, resulting in a fiscal 2019 assessed value (AV) of \$840.3 million, derived from a full value (FV) of \$2.5 billion. Preliminary values for fiscal 2020 indicate further expansion to \$864.3 million, derived from a moderately-sized full value of \$2.6 billion.

Officials report that a new Starbucks and hotel were built in the last year, and existing housing developments were expanded.

Top ten taxpayers represent a modest 13% of full value. Resident wealth levels are below average, with median family income of 68.9% of the US (2017 ACS). It is possible that income indices are artificially low due to the large percentage of military personnel in the profile.

Enrollment has declined modestly over the past several years. Fiscal 2019's student count was 7,871, down 2.37% from prior year. Officials attribute enrollment fluctuations to the Cannon Airforce Base: young families relocate to Clovis for a short amount of time, enrolling their students in elementary school, only to leave a few years later. The district is focused on retaining students, and has added new STEM programming, and is partnering with local colleges to provide dual credit courses. Due to their efforts, management states that year-to-date enrollment is a little over 8,000.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Financial operations and reserves: stable financial position, with surplus expected in fiscal 2019

The district's financial position will remain stable, benefitting from conservative budgeting and management's desire to maintain reserves. Fiscal 2018 ended with a general fund surplus of \$510,000, increasing general fund reserves to \$10.96 million, or 17.8% of revenues. Operating fund balance, including general fund and debt service funds, is \$15.3 million, or 23.3% of operating revenues. The district pays annual debt service in August, thus, at fiscal year end (June 30) fund balance is at its peak.

For fiscal 2019, the district expects to increase reserves to around \$11.68 million based on unaudited results. Similar to prior years, the district realized savings from vacancies and departments holding down expenses.

The fiscal 2020 budget reflects around a \$7 million increase in state aid, reflective of legislative changes to the funding formula. The majority of additional revenues will fund mandated salary adjustments. The budget is balanced with use of \$10.2 million in cash, a common practice in New Mexico. In practice, with the exception of fiscal 2017, the district has not dipped into cash to balance its budget.

LIQUIDITY

General fund cash has increased over the past several years. At fiscal 2018 year-end, cash was \$9.7 million, or 15.7% of revenues. The difference between fund balance and cash is \$1.9 million due from other funds. The district floats grant funds while waiting for reimbursement from the state or federal government, usually in July. Operating fund cash is \$13.9 million, or 21.2% of operating revenues. As noted above, cash is at its highest point at fiscal year-end, with it declining in August after the debt service payment.

Debt and pensions: manageable direct debt burden, but elevated pension burden

Despite plans to issue additional debt in the near-term, the district's debt burden will remain manageable because it is capped by statute at 2% of full value. At 1.8% of fiscal 2019 full value, the district's debt burden is slightly above state and national medians. Principal payout is above average, with 80% retired in ten years. The district plans to issue \$3 million in new money in 2019 and \$5 million in 2020. Debt is structured so as to allow the layering in of additional bonds without adjustment to tax rates.

DEBT STRUCTURE

Inclusive of the current issuance, the district will have \$46.3 million in fixed-rated obligations. All debt matures in 2035.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The district is not party to any derivative agreements.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The district has a high employee pension burden, based on unfunded liabilities for its share of the Educational Employees Retirement System (EERS), a cost sharing plan administered by the state and managed by the Educational Retirement Board (ERB). Clovis MSD's annual contributions into the plan have been at the statutorily required amount, which is well below the actuarially required amount, a situation which has driven the large unfunded liability. Moody's fiscal 2018 adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the district, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$265.7 million, or an elevated 4.04x operating revenues.

In addition to high ANPL to revenue ratio, the district's tread water gap has widened over the last several years to 4.6% in fiscal 2018. The "tread water" indicator measures the annual contributions required to prevent the reported net pension liability from increasing. That is, it is the amount that the district would have to pay on an annual basis to ensure the unfunded liability does not increase. In fiscal 2018, pension contributions of \$5.8 million were below the tread water indicator of \$8.8 million, a credit negative.

Starting in fiscal 2020, legislative changes to ERB will go into effect, including an increase to employer contributions. Positively, the state has appropriated additional funds, thus, districts will be insulated from the cost hike.

Moody's calculated unfunded OPEB liability was \$74.1 million in fiscal 2017, or an above average 35.3% of operating revenues. Fixed costs, including pension and OPEB contributions and debt service are average at 15% of operating revenues. Inclusive of tread water, they jump to a still average 19%.

Management and governance: institutional framework score of Baa

The district is governed by a five-member board. Members serve without compensation for four-year terms. The Superintendent is selected by and serves at the discretion of the board.

New Mexico School Districts have an Institutional Framework score of Baa, which is low. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. The sector's major revenue source, state aid or SEG, is subject to a cap, which cannot be overridden (in that, the State determines annual appropriations based primarily on student enrollment). Reliance on state funding limits revenue-raising ability; school districts do not collect property taxes for operation. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be moderate, or between 5-10% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. However, New Mexico School Districts enter into annual teaching contracts, which can limit the ability to cut expenditures over the near-term. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be moderate, between 5-10% annually.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 2

LIO	VIS I	MSD.	. NIV

Rating Factors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%) [1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$2,523,363	Aa
Full Value Per Capita	\$53,781	Α
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	68.9%	Baa
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Institutional Presence		Up
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	22.1%	Aa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	4.1%	Α
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	21.2%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	6.6%	Α
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	Ваа	Baa
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures (x)	1.0x	Α
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.8%	Α
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.7x	А
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	10.0%	Baa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	3.8x	Baa
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Other Analyst Adjustment to Debt and Pensions Factor (specify): negative tread water gap, reflective of contributions rema below the tread water payment	ining	Down
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	A1
	Assigned Rating	Aa3

⁽¹⁾ Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

⁽²⁾ Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology dated December 16, 2016.

⁽³⁾ Standardized adjustments are outlined in the GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs Updated for 2019 publication.

Source: US Census Bureau, Moody's Investors Service

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS TO PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS OWN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ON THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1193593

CLIENT SERVICES

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

