

## CREDIT OPINION

26 June 2019



#### Contacts

Lauren Von Bargen +1.212.553.4491

AVP-Analyst

lauren.vonbargen@moodys.com

Nicholas Lehman +1.617.535.7694

VP-Senior Analyst

nicholas.lehman@moodys.com

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

Americas 1-212-553-1653 Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077 Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

# Regional S.D. 14 (Woodbury & Bethlehem), CT

Update to credit analysis

## **Summary**

Regional School District 14 (Woodbury and Bethlehem), CT (Aa2) benefits from a moderately-sized tax base with a strong resident income and wealth profile. The district's reserve and liquidity position is limited due in part to statutory limits on reserves, however, annual operations have been very stable. The sound financial positions of the two member towns, Woodbury (Aa2) and Bethlehem, also provide additional security for the district. Lastly, while the district's fixed costs are currently manageable, debt service expenditures will rise in the near term due to the issuance of the 2018 GO bonds and future debt plans.

On June 26, we upgraded the district's outstanding general obligation bonds to Aa2 and assigned a Aa2 to the district's General Obligation Bonds, Issue of 2019. Concurrently, we assigned a MIG 1 to the General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes and affirmed the MIG 1 on the outstanding BANs.

# **Credit strengths**

- » Stable tax base with strong wealth and income profile
- » Minimal exposure to state revenues
- » Low fixed costs

# **Credit challenges**

- » Narrow reserves relative to national medians
- » Slightly elevated debt burden

# **Rating outlook**

Moody's does not generally assign outlooks to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

# Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Tax base growth coupled with improved income and wealth levels (GO)
- » Strengthened reserve and cash positions (GO)

# Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Material increase in fixed costs (GO)
- » Narrowing of financial position (GO)
- » Deterioration of wealth and income profile (GO)
- » Contraction of taxable base (GO)
- » Deterioration of underlying credit profile or declines in cash (BANs)

## **Key indicators**

#### Exhibit 1

Regional School District 14 (Woodbury & Bethlehem)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$2,023,393	\$2,130,842	\$2,066,800	\$2,030,288	\$2,062,456
Population	13,402	13,314	13,215	13,176	13,176
Full Value Per Capita	\$150,977	\$160,045	\$156,398	\$154,090	\$156,531
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	161.1%	156.7%	150.1%	145.8%	145.8%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$36,470	\$35,638	\$36,559	\$39,492	\$40,054
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$134	\$472	\$1,405	\$1,963	\$2,349
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$828	\$1,614	\$2,919	\$9,585	\$16,526
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	0.4%	1.3%	3.8%	5.0%	5.9%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	2.3%	4.5%	8.0%	24.3%	41.3%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$1,377	\$885	\$603	\$7,703	\$22,902
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	N/A	\$2,817	\$2,955	\$3,024	\$2,092
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.0x	0.0x	0.0x	0.2x	0.6x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	0.1x	0.1x	0.1x	0.1x

Source: Moody's Investors Service

#### **Profile**

The district is made up of the towns of Woodbury (Aa1) and Bethlehem in northwest Connecticut. It provides kindergarten through 12th grade education to approximately 1,660 students.

### **Detailed credit considerations**

# Economy and Tax Base: Stable tax base with strong income and wealth levels

The district's \$2.1 billion tax base is comprised of the towns of Woodbury (Aa1) and Bethlehem and will remain stable given recent growth trends. The district's base, which is below the national median of similarly rated districts, has increased at a compound annual rate of 0.8% over the last five years. Positively, the net grand list (or assessed value) has increased annually for the last four years. Future development is modest and consist of a mix of residential and commercial projects.

The wealth and income profile of district residents is strong. Median family income for the district is 145.8% and 110.1% of the US and state medians, respectively. Full value per capita is a strong \$156,531 and the district's median home value is an above average 181.6% of the national median. As of April 2019, Litchfield County's unemployment rate of 3.1% was slightly below the state and national rates of 3.3%.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Long-term the district will be challenged by an aging population trend. The 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) estimates the district's median age to be 48 years, significantly older than reflected in the district's 1990 census results, when the median age was 37.5 years. These trends are also reflected in the steady decline in enrollment over the last 10 years, a trend that officials expect to continue.

## Financial Operations and Reserves: Adequate reserve position supported by positive operating trends

Despite reporting operating surpluses in five of the past six years, the district's reserve position is narrow and will likely remain at or near current levels. State statute limits the accumulation by regional school districts of undesignated reserves and surpluses, which must be remitted back to member towns. Although the district's financial position will likely remain stable given that it provides an essential service to member towns of high credit quality, the narrow financial position limits financial flexibility to a degree that is atypical of like rated local government credits. Positively, the district has accumulated additional reserves in its capital projects fund, which provide some additional flexibility.

In fiscal 2018, the district ended the year with a \$387,000 operating surplus and the district's available operating fund balance increased to a still-narrow 5.8% of revenues, well below the national median of 15.8% for Aa3 rated school districts. The district maintained an additional \$1.3 million in the capital project fund.

The district expects fiscal 2019 to end with a modest surplus (non-GAAP basis) due to expenditures under budget. A portion of the surplus will be transferred to the capital fund, with a projected \$115,000 remaining surplus in the general fund. The fiscal 2020 budget was approved by voters on the first referendum for the first time in five years. The budget represents a 3.7% increase over the prior year due to increased salaries and debt service.

The district's primary source of revenues is member town assessments, which accounted for 75.9% of total revenues in fiscal 2018. Assessment proportionment is based on enrollment, with Woodbury accounting for 75% and Bethlehem for 25%. The stability and credit quality of the district's member towns are core considerations in the rating.

RSD 14 does not have any direct taxing authority and member towns can levy property taxes without limit to meet assessments owed to the district. At the end of fiscal 2017, both member towns maintained fund balance in excess of 16% of revenues.

# LIQUIDITY

The district's net cash as of the close of fiscal 2018 totaled \$16.5 million or 41.3% of operating revenues; however, this includes approximately \$6.1 million in grant revenues due to the capital project fund for renovations at the district's high school. Net of this restricted cash, the district's available general fund cash totals \$3.5 million or 8.9% of operating revenues. Positively, the district maintains a modest amount of additional available liquidity, \$1.3 million, in its capital projects fund. This amount provides a modest additional financial resource.

Member towns' net cash totaled \$15 million representing 33% of the towns' collective operating revenues.

## Debt and Pensions: Slightly elevated debt burden; currently-low pension and OPEB liabilities

The district's debt burden will grow to still manageable levels in the coming years as it issues additional bonds to finance a renovation of its high school. Following the issuance of the \$24.7 million 2019 bonds and the \$3 million BANs, the district's direct debt burden will be an average 1.9% of full value. The district does not anticipate any additional borrowing at this time.

#### **DEBT STRUCTURE**

All of the district's debt is fixed rate. The 2019 BANs are due July 23, 2020 and the district plans to issue long term bonds to take them out two weeks prior to maturity. The notes are expected to be repaid with state grants that are not disbursed to the district until the project is completed. In the event of market disruption or failure to receive grant revenues, the district would work with local banks to establish a direct placement to take out the BANs.

#### **DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES**

The district is not party to any interest rate swaps or other debt-related derivative transactions.

#### PENSIONS AND OPEB

The district maintains a single employer defined benefit plan and also participates in the multi-employer cost-sharing Teachers Retirement System (TRS) plan administered by the state. Most teachers participate in the state administered plan, for which the district is not legally responsible for any contributions. In fiscal 2018, the state made a \$5.5 million contribution on behalf of the district. For the locally administered single-employer plan, the district has met its actuarially required contribution (\$315,000 in fiscal 2018) in each of the past four years, which exceeds the tread water indicator.

The district's three year average adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$3.4 million, or a minimal 0.1 times operating revenues. When including the district's share of the TRS ANPL to the adjusted liabilities of the city managed plans, the ANPL increases to \$51.5 million, representing a still manageable 2.5% of full value and 1.3 times revenues.

In fiscal 2018, the district made a \$127,000 OPEB contribution. The district's unfunded OPEB liability is moderate at \$7 million. Total fixed costs (consisting of OPEB contributions and required pension payments) are low at 2.1% of fiscal 2018 revenues.

#### **Management and Governance**

District management's record of matching recurring revenues with recurring expenditures resulting in break- even operations in each of the past five years demonstrates strong budgetary controls. Moving forward the district will be challenged by a trend of declining enrollment, which has declined from 2,100 in fiscal 2011 to 1,656 in fiscal 2019. The district does attract a significant number of out of district students (approximately 235 in 2018) for which the district receives tuition revenue.

Either of the member towns may legally withdraw from the district, but if a town does withdraw, the departing town remains responsible for its pro-rata share of indebtedness. Also, in the event of a debt service deficiency, state statute requires the member towns to pay all debt service (plus a penalty) as soon as it is available either through adjusting their tax rates or with funds on hand.

Connecticut school districts have an institutional framework score of "Aa," or strong. Revenues are highly predictable, due to a high reliance on member town assessments. School districts have a moderate ability to raise revenues as voter approval is required to increase assessments. Expenditures mostly consist of personnel costs, which are highly predictable due to multi-year bargaining agreements. School districts generally have a moderate ability to reduce expenditures, though public sector unions can present some challenge. Favorably, schools are not responsible for making contributions for teachers' pensions or retiree healthcare benefits.

# Rating methodology and scorecard factors

#### Exhibit 2

Regional School District 14 (Woodbury & Bethlehem)

Rating Factors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%) [1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$2,062,456	Aa
Full Value Per Capita	\$156,531	Aaa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	145.8%	Aa
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	5.9%	Α
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	5.3%	Α
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	41.3%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	40.1%	Aaa
Notching Factors: <sup>[2]</sup>		
Other Analyst Adjustment to Finances Factor: Large due to other funds offsets high cash position		Down
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	Aa	Aa
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures	1.0x	Α
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.9%	Α
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.0x	Α
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	0.2%	Aaa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	0.1x	Aaa
Notching Factors: <sup>[2]</sup>		
Other Analyst Adjustment to Debt and Pensions Factor (specify): Contingent risk associated with state pension support		Down
Scorecard-Indicat		Aa3
	Assigned Rating	Aa2

 $<sup>\</sup>left[1\right]$  Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

<sup>[2]</sup> Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology dated December 16, 2016.

<sup>[3]</sup> Standardized adjustments are outlined in the GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs Updated for 2019 publication.

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS BY NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ARINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITS. HOLDING. OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1181566

Contacts

Nicholas Lehman +1.617.5

VP-Senior Analyst
nicholas.lehman@moodys.com

+1.617.535.7694

Lauren Von Bargen +1.212.553.4491 *AVP-Analyst* lauren.vonbargen@moodys.com **CLIENT SERVICES** 

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

